

IN THE UNITED STATES EASTERN DICTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Kevin Seawright

•

CIVIL TRIAL

Plaintiff, vs.

:

8-48 H FII

Officer Patrick Banning, John

:

NOV 07 2018

John/Jane Doe Officers 1-7

Defendants.

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk By_____Dep. Clerk

COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Kevin Seawright ("Seawright") via counsel, David Wesley Cornish, Esquire, Complains and states he was injured as follows, and via Sections 1983, 1985, and 1988, of the civil rights laws passed by Congress, provides the appropriate remedy to persons who have been deprived of their federal constitutional statutory rights under the color of state law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §§1983, 1985, and 1988.
- This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims made herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that Defendants work and reside in this district and virtually all the acts described in this Complaint occurred in this district.

PLAINITFF

5. Plaintiff is **Kevin Seawright**, an individual and resident of the United States of America, and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, living at 4323 North Darien Street Philadelphia, PA 19140.

DEFENDANTS

- 6. Defendant is **Patrick Banning**, a police officer for the Philadelphia Police Department, who lives and resides in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant is **Jane/John Does 1-7**, police officers for the Philadelphia Police Department, who live and reside in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and participated in the investigation, stop, seizure, arrest, and prosecution of the Plaintiff.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- At all relevant times the Defendants acted under the color of law as a municipality supervising municipally employed police officers while on duty.
- 9. On October 5, 2017, Plaintiff was sitting on the steps outside of his house at, 4323 North Darien Street, located within the City and County of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania with a few other individuals, including his sister Ashley Seawright.
- 10. Unknown to Plaintiff, Philadelphia Police Officers, including Defendant Banning and other members of the Philadelphia Police Department, were conducting a narcotics sales investigation on the block in which Plaintiff was sitting.
- 11. Defendant Banning claimed to have observed the Plaintiff while sitting with other persons engaged in conversation, that unknown individuals, some of who were later identified came up to the Plaintiff and the group of people he was with and engaged in conversation.
- 12. Defendant Banning did not hear any of the conversations which occurred.
- 13. Defendant Banning then claimed he saw the Plaintiff walk into the middle of the street and look in both directions before he said something to one of the people in the group he was sitting with, at which time the people he was sitting with, made a drug transaction.
- 14. Defendant Banning claims to have seen what he believes to be an unknown amount of United States currency exchanged for small unknown objects.

- 15. Defendant Banning claims to have witnessed two similar patterns of conduct in which persons whom the Plaintiff was sitting near accepted what is believed to be United States currency in exchange for small unknown objects.
- 16. Defendant Banning never saw the Plaintiff accept any money from persons who approached his group, from other members of the group he was sitting with, nor did he ever see the Plaintiff exchange any objects with any other person.
- 17 Defendant Banning testified Plaintiff was not physically near the persons conducting the alleged drug transactions while the exchanges were occurring and that he did not hear any conversation between Plaintiff and any other individual.
- 18. After making his observations, Defendant Banning, along with other members of the Philadelphia Police Department (John/Jane Does 1-7), proceeded to stop, seize, detain, arrest, process, and initiate prosecutions against the Plaintiff¹ and the persons he was sitting next to including Ashley Seawright.
- 19. After Plaintiff was arrested, he was charged with 1 count each of possession with intent to distribute a controlled substance², intentional possession of a controlled substance³, and conspiracy⁴.
- 20. Plaintiff was listed to have a joint preliminary hearing on October 23, 2017, November 22, 2017, December 20, 2017, January 24, 2018, and February 28, 2018.
- 21. On February 28, 2018, at the Plaintiff's preliminary hearing the Honorable Sharon Williams-Losier dismissed the Commonwealth's prosecution citing a lack of evidence necessary to find the police, particularly Defendant Banning, did not have probable cause to stop, seize, detain, and otherwise arrest the Plaintiff for the observed conduct.

¹ MC-51-CR-29592-2017

² 35 Pa C S.A. §780-113(a)(30)

³ 35 Pa.C S A §780-113(a)(16)

^{4 18} Pa.C S A §903

PLAINTIFF'S INJURIES

- 22. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 23. Due to the Defendants actions Plaintiff suffered the following injuries:
 - a. Physical discomfort from being handcuffed:
 - b. A loss of freedom due to the Defendants arresting him;
 - c. A loss of enjoyment and quality of life due to the Defendants actions in restraining, arresting, and prosecuting him which caused physical and psychological injuries;
 - d. A loss of employment/business/school opportunities due to the Defendants actions restraining,
 arresting, and prosecuting him;
 - e. Loss of reputation from the Defendants actions restraining, arresting, and prosecuting;
 - f. Litigation expenses for this civil matter including but not limited to filing fees, administrative fees, expert fees, court costs, civil counsel fees, and the like.

<u>COUNT 1 – MALICIOUS PROSECUTION</u>

- 24. Plaintiffs allege and incorporate via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 25. Plaintiff avers the Defendants initiated criminal proceedings in both cases, against him via arrest, filing a criminal complaint, processing them, and consulting the District Attorney's Office about charging him.
- 26. Plaintiff avers these Defendants lacked probable cause, as articulated in the United States Constitution's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments, as well as the Constitution and related Bill of Rights for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, to stop, search, and detain him when they first encountered him, and lacked probable cause to arrest them and because these Defendants arrested them, their resulting imprisonment was unjust, illegal, and was the direct and causal reason they were incarcerated.
- 27. Plaintiff's criminal charges initiated by the Defendants terminated in his favor when he was found not guilty and had his Motion to Suppress granted.

- 28. Plaintiff avers the Defendants acted maliciously or for a purpose other than bringing them to justice, as Plaintiff was not committing a crime when he the police initially encountered him sitting outside his home, and nor did they watch him participate in any illegal or suspect conduct during the entirety of their investigation.
- 29. Plaintiff avers due to this criminal proceeding, he was subjected to and suffered a significant deprivation of liberty and freedom, in addition to public embarrassment.
- 30. Further, Plaintiff avers Defendants, specifically Defendant Banning, obscured the District Attorney's Office from making an independent judgment about the merits of prosecution as these Defendants failed to provide materially honest evidence and statements regarding Plaintiff, the evidence observed, and recovered.
- 31. Plaintiff avers but for the Defendants filing a criminal complaint based on fabricated/non-existent evidence, for completely legal conduct, he would never have had a criminal case initiated against him.
- 32. The Defendants directly, foreseeably, legally, and proximately caused the Plaintiff to have suffered unjust, unwarranted, and unlawful incarceration, prosecution, and/or will continue to suffer the following damages: Public shame and embarrassment, Loss of enjoyment of life, Loss of enjoyment of freedom, and Past and future pain and suffering, inconvenience, and emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, in an official, professional, individual, and personal capacity, individually, jointly, and severally for compensatory, punitive, and exemplary damages in addition to attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and the like in excess of one hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$150,000).

COUNT 2 – FALSE ARREST

33. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.

- 34. Plaintiff avers he was searched and seized within the meanings of the Federal Constitution's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments, in addition to the Pennsylvania Constitution and its Bill of Rights, by the Defendants in relation to a police investigation for a criminal offense.
- 35. Plaintiff avers his seizure and resultant arrest by the Defendants was illegal and improper because the Defendants knowingly lacked a reasonable suspicion, and/or probable cause to believe he was committing a criminal offense as Plaintiff was not observed accepting currency, dispensing small unknown objects, nor interacting with suspected drug purchasers.
- 36. Plaintiff avers but for the Defendants actions he otherwise would not have been subjected to a search, seizure, and arrest.
- 37. The Defendants directly, foreseeably, legally, and proximately caused Plaintiff to have suffered unjust, unwarranted, and unlawful incarceration, prosecution, and/or will continue to suffer the following damages: Public shame and embarrassment, Past and future costs for medical treatment and care, Loss of enjoyment of life, Loss of enjoyment of freedom, and Past and future pain and suffering, inconvenience, and emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, in an official, professional, individual, and personal capacity, individually, jointly, and severally for compensatory, punitive, and exemplary damages in addition to attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and the like in excess of one hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$150,000).

COUNT 3 - FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 38. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 39. Plaintiff avers the police lacked probable cause to arrest him and that he was falsely imprisoned pursuant to this arrest.

- 40. Plaintiff avers he was seized within the meanings of the Federal Constitution's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments, in addition to the Pennsylvania Constitution and its Bill of Rights, by these Defendants in relation to a police investigation for a criminal offense.
- 41. Plaintiff avers his seizure and resultant arrest by the Defendants was illegal and improper because the Defendants knowingly lacked a reasonable suspicion, and/or probable cause to believe he was committing a criminal offense.
- 42. The Defendants directly, foreseeably, legally, and proximately caused Plaintiff to have suffered unjust, unwarranted, and unlawful incarceration, prosecution, and/or will continue to suffer the following damages: Public shame and embarrassment, Past and future costs for medical treatment and care, Loss of enjoyment of life, Loss of enjoyment of freedom, and Past and future pain and suffering, inconvenience, and emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, in an official, professional, individual, and personal capacity, individually, jointly, and severally for compensatory, punitive, and exemplary damages in addition to attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and the like in excess of one hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$150,000).

COUNT 4 - BATTERY AND ASSAULT

- 43. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 44. The United States Constitution's Fourth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments, in addition to the Pennsylvania Constitution and its Bill of Rights, protect every citizen's rights, privileges, and immunities to be free from unreasonable search and seizure, cruel and unusual punishment/treatment, and to equal protection of the law and due process.
- 45. The United States and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Constitutions protect persons from being subjected to unnecessary force and arrest while being investigated and/or stopped by police and a law

- enforcement official and the Defendants violated these requirements and directly caused Plaintiff to suffer unnecessary and unreasonable physical injuries and a liberty deprivation.
- 46. Plaintiff avers the Defendants at no time possessed probable cause to stop, detain, arrest, and/or otherwise seize, stop, touch, handcuff, or otherwise control him.
- 47. Each Defendant also separately violated Plaintiff's rights when they failed to stop the other Defendant from exerting force and failing to intervene and stop the civil rights violations, as each Defendant as a police officer had a duty to intervene to prevent the use of unnecessary force by a fellow officer, each Defendant had a reasonable opportunity to intervene, and each Defendant failed to intervene.

 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, in an official, professional, individual, and personal capacity, individually, jointly, and severally for compensatory, punitive, and exemplary damages in addition to attorneys' fees, costs, interest, and the like in excess of one hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$150,000).

COUNT 5 - FAILURE TO INTERVENE

- 48. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 49. Within the meanings of the Federal Constitution's Fourth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments, and Pennsylvania Constitution and its Bill of Rights, a police officer has a duty to protect citizens from police assaulting a person when this action is committed by fellow officers in their presence.
- 50. Defendants violated Plaintiff's rights when they failed to stop the other officers from restraining, assaulting, battering, seizing, and searching, the Plaintiff and failing to intervene and stop the civil rights violations, as each Defendant as a police officer had a duty to intervene to prevent other officers from doing the same, and each Defendant had a reasonable opportunity to intervene, and each Defendant failed to intervene.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands exemplary and punitive damages plus attorneys' fees and costs in an amount in excess of One-Hundred and Fifty-Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) against all Defendants.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES REQUEST

- 51 Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 52. Defendants actions in depriving Plaintiff of his rights, freedoms, privileges, and liberties is so outrageous as to shock the conscience of reasonable persons and shows reckless indifference for the Plaintiffs' rights, especially when there was no reasonable suspicion or probable cause to believe he was committing a criminal act by sitting outside of his home and was never observed accepting money or exchanging small objects in any drug transaction.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand exemplary and punitive damages plus attorneys' fees and costs in an amount in excess of One-Hundred and Fifty-Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) against all Defendants officially, personally, professionally, individually, jointly, and severally.

JURY DEMAND

- 53. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates via reference the allegations contained in all the above paragraphs, as fully as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 54. Plaintiffs demand a jury to determine the extent of damages and provide a full and fair determination pursuant to the United States Constitutional Amendments V, VI, and XIV.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ David Wesley Cornish, Esquire

David Wesley Cornish, Esquire

Bar ID #: 310865

230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Phone: 212-444-2039

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Date: November 6, 2018

VERIFICATION

The facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint are true and correct to the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief and are verified subject to the penalties for perjury and unsworn falsification to authorities and/or the tribunal.

Respectfully Submitted,

/S/ David Wesley Cornish, Esquire David Wesley Cornish, Esquire

Bar ID #: 310865

230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102 Phone: 212-444-2039

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Date: November 6, 2018

VERIFICATION

I, Kevin Seawright, hereby states that he is a plaintiff in this action and the facts set forth in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of her/his knowledge, information and belief. To the extent that this document incorporates principals of law, plaintiff has relied upon his attorney as to the accuracy and applicability of such statements of law. To the extent the document incorporates facts which are not within the personal knowledge of the plaintiff, he has relied upon the investigation of counsel. The language of this document is that of plaintiff's counsel.

Plaintiff understands the statements made herein are subject to the penalties for unsworn falsification to authorities under 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904.

Date: 11/6/18

Kevin Seawright

4323 North Darien Street Philadelphia, PA 19140

Case 2:18-cv-04811-GEKP Document 1 Filed 11/07/18 Page 12/05/14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil do					974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Kevin Seawright				Officer Patrick Banning and John/Jane 1-7				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED			
(C) Attorneys (Firm Name Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)				
Cornerstone Legal Group, LLC 230 South Broad Street, 17th Floor 212-444-2039				City Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC TION Place an "X" in One Box Only)				III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plain (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)				
☐ 1 US Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State					
CI 2 U.S Government Defendant	· ·			Citizen of Another State				
				en or Subject of a Treign Country	3 7 3 Foreign Nation	J 6 J 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions OTHER STATUTES				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Surts ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Lort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Aurplane 315 Aurplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libei & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Melical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 3441 Voting 444 Employment 445 Amer w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities Other 3448 Education	Other	7 62 7 69 7 71 7 72 7 74 7 79 7 79	LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Farmly and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act LMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Tule XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUTTS ☐ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	m One Box Only) moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court		stated or	r District Litigation			
X	12 LISC 1083 10		filing (1	Do not cite jurisdictional stat				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	ause CNI	Rra	hts				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: One of the control of				EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint JURY DEMAND. If Yes ONo				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IV ANY (See instructions)				DOWN THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF				
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTO				DOCKET SOMBET IN THE NEY OF RECORD				
11/06/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		T T	<u> </u>	<u>~</u>				

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Kerin Searright

CIVIL ACTION

Officer Patrick Banning, : John/Jane Doe 1-7

NO. 18-4811

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c)	Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d)	Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are		

commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)

(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

Date Dave Wesley Cornish Phintiff

Attorney-at-law Attorney for

212-999-2034 212-535-7365

cornerstanelegalgrouppagmaileur

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

MICH OS SALIA

se 2:18-cv-04811-GEKP**unidadesitaens distritidectolio**7/18 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriat 4323 North Darien Street Philadelphia, PA 19140 Address of Plaintiff. City Law Dept 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106 Address of Defendant Philadelphia, PA Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number Judge Date Terminated Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case | is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above DATE 11/06/2018 310865 Attorney ID # (if applicable) Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff CIVIL: (Place a vin one category only) Federal Question Cases: Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury **FELA** Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault, Defamation Antitrust Marine Personal Injury Patent Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Products Liability Civil Rights Products Liability - Asbestos Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases All other Diversity Cases (Please specify) Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration) Louid Wesley Cornish Counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify Persuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs Relief other than monetary damages is sought

DATE 11/06/2018

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

310865

Attorney I D # (if applicable)

NOTE A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F R C P 38